

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address V. MMISSICNER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Abramfan, Juguna 12313-1450 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,785	02/05/2001	Youbun Ito	07553.0018	8283

7590 07/11.2003

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner 1300 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER				
GOUDREAU, GEORGE A				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

1763

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Comments	09-700,785 Ito et, al,				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	George Goudreau 1763				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—				
Period for Reply	2				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailit term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), and date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent				
Status	10 0 0 0 0				
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-5-03'(6, - Perfer # 9)—					
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 2-15	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s) 2-9	s/are allowed.				
(Claim(s) () - / 5	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers	requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).				
` All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other				
Office Action Summary					

Application/Control Number: 09/700,785

Art Unit: 1763

- 15. Claims 2-9 are allowed.
- 16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 15. Claims 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b, e) as being anticipated by any of Marquez et. al. (6,133,153), or lijima et. al. (9-1997').

Marquez et. al. disclose a process for selectively anisotropically rie etching a SiO2 layer (40) on a wafer (22) to an underlying Si3N4 layer (34) on a wafer using a patterned photo resist etch mask (42), and a plasma which is comprised of (C4F8-CH2F2-Ar). This is discussed specifically in columns 9-11; and discussed in general in columns 1-12. This is shown in figures 1-5.

lijima et. al. (9-1997') disclose a process for selectively anisotropically rie etching a BPSG layer on a wafer to an underlying Si3N4 layer on a wafer using a patterned photo resist etch mask, and a plasma which is comprised of (C4F8-CH2F2-Ar). This is discussed on pages 5498-5501. This shown in figures 1-9.

Art Unit: 1763

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 18. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied in paragraph 15 above.

The references as applied in paragraph 15 above fail to specifically disclose the following aspects of applicant's claimed invention:

-the specific etching process parameters which are claimed by the applicant

It would have been prima facie obvious to one skilled in the art to employ any of a variety of different etching process parameters in any of the etching processes taught above including those which are specifically claimed by the applicant. These are all well known variables in the plasma etching art which are known to effect both the rate and quality of the plasma etching

Application/Control Number: 09/700,785

Art Unit: 1763

process. Further, the selection of particular values for these variables would not necessitate any undo experimentation which would be indicative of a showing of unexpected results.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the specific process parameters in any of the etching processes which is taught above based upon <u>In re Aller</u> as cited below.

"Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." <u>In re Aller</u>, 220 F. 2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA).

Further, all of the specific process parameters which are claimed by the applicant are results effective variables whose values are known to effect both the rate, and the quality of the plasma etching process.

- 19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1763

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

George A. Goudreau/gag

Primary Examiner

AU 1763